

By-Product assessment report BP014 Brim hf (Akranes)



1. Application details				
Applicant	Brim hf (Akranes)			
Applicant country	Iceland			
2. Certification Body details				
Name of Certification Body (CB) NSF / Global Trust Certification Ltd				
Contact information for CB	nsf-marintrust@nsf.org			
Assessor name	Dr Alex Caveen			
CB internal peer reviewer name	Léa Lebechnech			
Internal peer review evaluation Agree with evaluation				
Comments on the assessment n/a				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 12/2024 Valid until 12/2025			

4. By-product assessment outcomes				
By-product species name	Elag country/ios)	MarinTrust approval status		
Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)			
Herring (Clupea harengus)	Iceland	Approved		
Mackerel (Scomber scombrus)	Iceland	Approved		



Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from	CITES Appendices Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No	Not applicable /Risk downgraded to Medium risk/ Remains High risk
Herring (Clupea harengus)	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No	Not applicable
Mackerel (Scomber scombrus)	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No	Not applicable



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet.

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Iceland	Low	1.58	1.78	1.83	1	1	1	1	88.21%



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock.

Species name		ie	N/A				
Fishing area and stock			N/A				
C1	Categ	ory C Stoc	k Status - Minimum Requirements				
	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.						
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	Clause outcome:						
asses	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. Add rationale here						
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.							
Add r	Add rationale here						
Refer	References						



Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock.

Species name		N/A				
Path 1		Yes □ No □				
Confirm all KDEs are p	rovided	Yes □ No □				
Path 2	Yes □ No If yes for Pat	\square h 2, complete the r	next section			
Path 2 outcome	Flag countr	y Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome		
Countries may be				Choose an item.		
different for Coastal				Choose an item.		
State and Port State.						