



By-Product assessment report

*Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.0
Issued July 2024 – Effective July 2024*

BP015: Pelagia UK – Grimsby

Report code	BP015	Date of issue	December 2024
--------------------	--------------	----------------------	---------------

1. Application details		
Applicant	Pelagia UK - Grimsby	
Applicant country	UK	
2. Certification Body details		
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA	
Contact information for CB		
Assessor name	Sam Peacock	
CB internal peer reviewer name	Jose Peiro Crespo	
Internal peer review evaluation	Choose an item.	
Comments on the assessment	<p>No byproduct species listed in this assessment falls under the MT definition of an ETP species, and therefore all are eligible for byproduct assessment. All the byproducts are caught by UK-flagged vessels. The UK produces a result of Medium Risk in step 2, therefore Step 3 is not required and all listed byproducts may be sourced with caution.</p> <p>CB peer review: I agree with the assessor's conclusion.</p>	
3. Approval validity		
	Valid from 12/2024	Valid until 12/2025

4. By-product assessment outcomes		
By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	MarinTrust approval status
Herring, <i>Clupea harengus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Cod, <i>Gadus morhua</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Squid, <i>Loligo vulgaris</i>	UK	Approved source with caution

Anglerfish, <i>Lophius budegassa/piscatorius</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Haddock, <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Whiting, <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
European hake, <i>Merluccius merluccius</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Lemon sole, <i>Microstomus kitt</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Ling, <i>Molva molva</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Plaice, <i>Pleuronectes platessa</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Pollack, <i>Pollachius pollachius</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Saithe, <i>Pollachius virens</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Thornback ray, <i>Raja clavata</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Greenland halibut, <i>Reinhardtius hippoglossoides</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
European pilchard, <i>Sardina pilchardus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Mackerel, <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Turbot, <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Sea bass, <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Black seabream, <i>Spondylisoma cantharus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Brill, <i>Scophthalmus rhombus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Swordfish, <i>Xiphias gladius</i>	UK	Approved source with caution
Sprat, <i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	UK	Approved source with caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

- No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

- Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

- In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.

Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	IUCN Red List <i>Select IUCN red list category from dropdown</i>	CITES Appendices <i>Select CITES appendix status from dropdown</i>	Step 2 risk status <i>Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk</i>	Step 3 required <i>Yes / No</i>	Step 3 risk Outcome <i>Not applicable /Risk downgraded to Medium risk/ Remains High risk</i>
Herring, <i>Clupea harengus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Cod, <i>Gadus morhua</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Squid, <i>Loligo vulgaris</i>	UK	Data deficient	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Anglerfish, <i>Lophius budegassa/piscatorius</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Haddock, <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	UK	Vulnerable	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Whiting, <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable

European hake, <i>Merluccius merluccius</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Lemon sole, <i>Microstomus kitt</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Ling, <i>Molva molva</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Plaice, <i>Pleuronectes platessa</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Pollack, <i>Pollachius pollachius</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Saithe, <i>Pollachius virens</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Thornback ray, <i>Raja clavata</i>	UK	Near threatened	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Greenland halibut, <i>Reinhardtius hippoglossoides</i>	UK	Near threatened	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
European pilchard, <i>Sardina pilchardus</i>	UK	Near threatened	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable

Mackerel, <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Turbot, <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Sea bass, <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>	UK	Near threatened	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Black seabream, <i>Spondylisoma cantharus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Brill, <i>Scophthalmus rhombus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Swordfish, <i>Xiphias gladius</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Sprat, <i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	UK	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable

Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet.

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
UK	Medium	2.04	2.61	1.97	1	1	1	1	92.92%

Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock.

Species name			
Fishing area and stock			
C1	Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements		
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:
<p>C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.</p> <p><i>Add rationale here</i></p> <p>C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.</p> <p><i>Add rationale here</i></p>			
References			

Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock.

Species name				
Path 1	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
Confirm all KDEs are provided	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
Path 2	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes for Path 2, complete the next section</i>			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome

<i>Countries may be different for Coastal State and Port State.</i>				Choose an item.
				Choose an item.