



By-Product assessment report

BP067 – Hijos de Emilio Ramirez SA - Pescave,
Spain

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.0
Issued July 2024 – Effective July 2024

Report code	BP067	Date of issue	March 2025
--------------------	-------	----------------------	------------

1. Application details		
Applicant	Hijos de Emilio Ramirez SA - Pescave	
Applicant country	Spain	
2. Certification Body details		
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA	
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com	
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez	
CB internal peer reviewer name	José Peiró Crespo	
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation	
Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered and ETP species according to Marin Trust definition fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. All of them are caught by Sapin flagged vessels. Spain has a result of Medium Risk in Step 2, therefore Step 3 is not required and all listed byproducts are approved but may be source with caution.	
3. Approval validity		Valid from 03/2025
		Valid until 03/2026

4. By-product assessment outcomes		
By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	MarinTrust approval status
Bluefin tuna - <i>Thunnus thynnus</i>	Spain	Approved source with caution
Yellowfin tuna - <i>Thunnus albacares</i>	Spain	Approved source with caution
Albacore tuna - <i>Thunnus alalunga</i>	Spain	Approved source with caution

European anchovy - <i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	Spain	Approved source with caution
Mackerel - <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	Spain	Approved source with caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

- No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

- Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

- In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.

Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	IUCN Red List <i>Select IUCN red list category from dropdown</i>	CITES Appendices <i>Select CITES appendix status from dropdown</i>	Step 2 risk status <i>Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk</i>	Step 3 required <i>Yes / No</i>	Step 3 risk Outcome <i>Not applicable /Risk downgraded to Medium risk/ Remains High risk</i>
Bluefin tuna - <i>Thunnus thynnus</i>	Spain	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Yellowfin tuna - <i>Thunnus albacares</i>	Spain	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Albacore tuna - <i>Thunnus alalunga</i>	Spain	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
European anchovy - <i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	Spain	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable
Mackerel - <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	Spain	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No	Not applicable

Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet.

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Spain	Medium	3.21	3.39	2.03	1	1	1	1	75.94%

Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock.

Species name			
Fishing area and stock			
C1	Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements		
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:
<p>C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.</p> <p><i>Add rationale here</i></p> <p>C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.</p> <p><i>Add rationale here</i></p>			
References			

Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock.

Species name				
Path 1		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Confirm all KDEs are provided		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Path 2	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes for Path 2, complete the next section</i>			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome

<i>Countries may be different for Coastal State and Port State.</i>				Choose an item.
				Choose an item.