



MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment CIVO4 Bigeye Tuna in FAO Areas 34, 41 & 47 (Atlantic)

MarinTrust Programme

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Bigeye tuna (<i>Thunnus obesus</i>)	
	Geographical area:	FAO Areas 34, 41 & 47	
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	Côte d'Ivoire	
	Stock:	Atlantic bigeye tuna	
Date	March 2024		
Report Code	CIV04		
Assessor	Sam Peacock		
Country of origin of the product - PASS	Côte d'Ivoire None		
Country of origin of the product - FAIL			

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome								
Company Name(s): Ma	Company Name(s): Marine Biotechnology Products Côte d'Ivoire							
Country:								
Email address:		Applicant Code	2:					
Certification Body Deta	ails							
Name of Certification E	Body:	LRQA						
Assessor Peer Reviewer		Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval					
Sam Peacock Jose Peiro Crespo 0.2 Surveillance								
Assessment Period		March 2024 -	- March 2025					

Scope Details	
Main Species	Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus)
Stock	Atlantic bigeye tuna
Fishery Location	FAO Areas 34, 41 & 47
Management Authority (Country/ State)	International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT)
Gear Type(s)	Longline, baitboat, purse seine
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	
Recommendation	



Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

Bigeye tuna has been categorised by the IUCN as Vulnerable, and does not appear in the CITES appendices. Bigeye in the Atlantic is managed by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) relative to a target reference point (B_{MSY}), and therefore was assessed under Category C.

The most recent stock assessment for bigeye in the Atlantic remains the one conducted in 2021, as identified by the previous MT byproduct assessment. The stock assessment incorporated all available catch data, and concluded that stock biomass was slightly below B_{MSY} . Although no limit reference point is established for the stock, biomass was estimated to be very likely to be above ½ B_{MSY} , the default limit reference point defined by the MT byproduct assessment guidance.

As there has been no new stock assessment since the previous MT byproduct assessment, the byproduct continues to meet the MT requirements and should remain approved for use as a raw material.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

The by-product fishery under assessment is the bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) longline, baitboat and purse seine in FAO Areas 34, 41 and 47 (Atlantic Ocean). The species is classified as VU by the IUCN. The stock is managed relative to biomass-based reference points and therefore it is assessed as a category C species.

The most recent stock assessment for the species was carried out by the ICCAT in 2021. In that assessment, the $SSB2019/SSB_{MSY}$ was estimated to be 0.94. Therefore, although the spawning stock biomass is below the target reference point, it is estimated to be above the proxy limit reference point defined by the MT (1/2B_{MSY}). It passes category C.

The peer review supports the auditor's recommendation to pass the Atlantic bigeye tuna caught with longline, baitboat and purse seine in FAO Areas 34, 41 and 47 (Atlantic Ocean) under the Marin Trust IFFO RS v2.0 by-fishery standard for the production of fishmeal and fish oil.

Notes for our site Additor	



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category ¹	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Bigeye tuna	Thunnus obesus	Atlantic bigeye	Yes	С	Vulnerable ³	No

¹ https://www.iucnredlist.org/

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

³ https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/21859/46912402



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name	Bigeye tuna	
C1	Categ	ory C Stock Sta	atus - Minimum Requirements	
CI	C1.1 Fishery removal		ovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	PASS
	C1.2	reference po	s considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit int (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific be negligible.	PASS
			Clause outcome:	PASS

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The most recent stock assessment for Atlantic bigeye continues to be the same as that identified in the 2023 MT byproduct assessment, conducted by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) in 2021 using all available catch data and several modelling approaches (ICCAT 2021). Different model formulations were used to test different potential representations of stock dynamics and characteristics to reduce uncertainties in the outcomes. Catch data are available by area, gear, and vessel flag, and were incorporated into the assessment.

As there have been no changes since the previous MT assessment, C1.1 continues to be met.


C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The 2021 stock assessment produced estimates of stock status in 2019. Relative spawning biomass (SSB_{2019}/SSB_{MSY}) was estimated to be 0.94, with a 96% confidence interval of 0.71 – 1.37. This places the stock into the Overfished section of the Kobe chart, and indicates that biomass is likely to be below the target reference point. The stock assessment also concluded that as of 2019 the stock was not subject to overfishing.

No limit reference point is defined for the stock. Where this is the case, the MT byproduct assessment guidance directs assessors to assume a limit reference point of ½B_{MSY}. The 95% confidence interval described above indicates that there is a very high probability the stock biomass is at least 0.71 B_{MSY}, and therefore is very likely to be above the default limit reference point.

As there has been no new stock assessment and therefore no updated understanding of stock status, C1.2 continues to be met.





Kobe plot of SSB/SSB_{MSY} and F/F_{MSY} for stock status of Atlantic bigeye tuna in 2019. Insert pie chart shows the probability that 2019 status is in the red quadrant (48.9 %), green quadrant (41.1 %), orange (0.8%) and in yellow (9.2 %). Blue circle is the median and marginal histograms represent distribution of either SSB/SSB_{MSY} or F/F_{MSY} (ICCAT 2021).

References

ICCAT (2021). Stock assessment executive summary, bigeye tuna.

https://www.iccat.int/Documents/SCRS/ExecSum/BET_ENG.pdf

Links	
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01



CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

D1	Species Name		n/a					
	Productivity Attribut	:e	Value	Score				
	Average age at maturity (years)							
	Average maximum age (years)							
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)							
	Average maximum size (cm)							
	Average size at maturity (cm)							
	Reproductive strategy							
	Mean trophic level							
			Average Productivity Score					
	Susceptibility Attribu	te	Value	Score				
	Availability (area overlap)							
	Encounterability (the position of the s	•						
	within the water column relative to the	ne fishing gear)						
	Selectivity of gear type							
	Post-capture mortality							
	Average Susceptibility Score							
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)							
			Compliance rating					
		Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where relevant)						
	For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there may be							
	uncertainty affecting your decision							
Refere	ences							
Stando	ard clauses 1 3 2 2							



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes			Medium susceptibility (medium risk, score = 2)			High susceptibility (high risk, score = 3)	
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	(availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species		10	10-30% overlap		>30% overlap	
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position Encounterability Low overlap with fishing gear (low encounterability).		Medium overlap with fishing gear.		High overlap with fishing gear (high encounterability). Default score for target species		
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught	
Potential of the gear to retain species	b	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	Ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.	
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	Evidence of majority released post-capture and survival.		Evidence of some released post-capture and survival.		Retained species or majority dead when released.		



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

D4	4 Species Name n/a							
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements							
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management							
		process, and reasonab	le measures are taken to minimise these impacts.					
	D4.2	There is no substantia species.	al evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the					
			Outcome:					
Eviden	ice							
D4.2 T	here is r	no substantial evidence	that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.					
Refere	ences							
Links								
Marin [*]	Trust Sta	andard clause	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4					
FAO C	CRF		7.5.1					

D.5.01

GSSI