

# MarinTrust Standard V2

# By-product Fishery Assessment Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*), FAO 27, ICES 7.b,c (West of Ireland)

#### **MarinTrust Programme**

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



# Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

|   | Species:                          | Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
|   | Geographical area:                | FAO 27 – Northeast Atlantic    |  |
| Fishery Under<br>Assessment             | Country of origin of the product: | Denmark, Ireland, France       |  |
|   | Stock:                            | ICES 7. b-c (West of Ireland)  |  |
| Date                                    | May 2024                          |                                |  |
| Report Code                             | DNK20                             |                                |  |
| Assessor                                | Blanca Gonzalez                   |                                |  |
| Country of origin of the product - PASS | Denmark, Ireland, France          |                                |  |
| Country of origin of the product - FAIL | None                              |                                |  |

| Application details and summary of the assessment outcome |             |                    |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Company Name(s): FF Skagen A/S, Thyborøn                  |             |                    |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Country: Denmark  |             |                    |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Email address:  |             | Applicant Cod      | e:                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Certification Body Det                                    | ails        |                    |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Name of Certification                                     | Body:       | LRQA               |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Assessor Peer Reviewer                                    |             | Assessment<br>Days | Initial/Surveillance/<br>Re-approval |  |  |  |  |
| Blanca Gonzalez   | Sam Peacock | 0.5                | Re-approval                          |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Period May 2024 – May 2025                     |             |                    |                                      |  |  |  |  |

| Scope Details                         |                                  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Main Species                          | Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa)   |
| Stock                                 | ICES 7. b-c (Western of Ireland) |
| Fishery Location                      | FAO 27 – Northeast Atlantic      |
| Management Authority (Country/ State) | EU and UK                        |
| Gear Type(s)                          | Otter trawl, other               |
| Outcome of Assessment                 |                                  |
| Peer Review Evaluation                | Agree with assessment outcomes   |
| Recommendation                        | PASS                             |



#### Table 2. Assessment Determination

#### **Assessment Determination**

Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) is categorised by the IUCN as Least Concern, do not appear in the CITES appendices, and there is no species-specific management in place or establish reference points for the West of Ireland (ICES Division 7. b-c) stock (ICES 2023). Therefore, it was assessed under Category D.

In the Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) the plaice awarded an average productivity score of 1.71 and an average susceptibility score of 2.5 passing against Table D3, indicating that the stock is not vulnerable to the fisheries in West of Ireland.

The plaice byproduct meets the Marin Trust requirements and it should be re-approved for use as a raw material.

ICES (2023). Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) in divisions 7.b–c (West of Ireland). ICES Advice: Recurrent Advice. Report. <a href="https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.21840984.v1">https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.21840984.v1</a>

#### **Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments**

The peer reviewer agrees that this species is eligible for assessment under the MarinTrust byproduct assessment methodology, and that the stock falls into Category D. The PSA has been conducted correctly and the peer reviewer agrees with the Pass outcome for this byproduct.

| Notes for On-site Auditor |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| None                      |  |  |
|                           |  |  |
|                           |  |  |
|                           |  |  |
|                           |  |  |
|                           |  |  |



## **Species Categorisation**

**NB:** If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

#### **IUCN Red list Category**

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

# **Table 3 Species Categorisation Table**

| Common<br>name | Latin name               | Stock                               | Management | Category | IUCN Red List<br>Category <sup>1</sup> | CITES Appendix 1 <sup>2</sup> |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|----------|--|-------------------------------|
| Plaice         | Pleuronectes<br>platessa | ICES 7. b-c<br>(West of<br>Ireland) | No         | D        | Least Concern <sup>3</sup>             | No                            |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

<sup>3</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/135690/50018800



### **CATEGORY C SPECIES**

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

| Spe                   | ecies                             | Name   | NA   |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <b>C1</b>             | Catego                            | ory C Stock Sta                                      | atus - Minimum Requirements  |  |
| CI                    | C1.1                              | Fishery remo   | ovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment  |  |
|                       |                                   | process, OR a  | are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.   |  |
|                       | C1.2                              | The species i  | s considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit   |  |
|                       |                                   |  | int (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific o be negligible.  |  |
|                       |                                   |  | Clause outcome:  |  |
| consid                | dered by                          | y scientific aut<br>cies is conside                  | ne species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment proces chorities to be negligible.  Pered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference of fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. |  |
| C1.2 T                | dered by<br>The spec<br>), OR re  | y scientific aut<br>cies is conside                  | chorities to be negligible.  |  |
| C1.2 T                | dered by                          | y scientific aut<br>cies is conside                  | chorities to be negligible.  ered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference  |  |
| C1.2 T                | dered by<br>The spec<br>), OR re  | y scientific aut<br>cies is conside                  | chorities to be negligible.  ered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference  |  |
| C1.2 Tproxy Reference | dered by<br>The spec<br>(), OR re | y scientific aut<br>cies is conside                  | chorities to be negligible.  Pered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.   |  |
| C1.2 Tproxy Refere    | dered by The spec ), OR re ences  | y scientific aut<br>cies is conside<br>movals by the | chorities to be negligible.  Pered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.   |  |



#### **CATEGORY D SPECIES**

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

| D1 | Species Name                                   | Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa)           |       |  |  |
|----|--|--|-------|--|--|
|    | Productivity Attribute                         | Value                                    | Score |  |  |
|    | Average age at maturity (years)                | 10.5 <sup>1</sup>                        | 2     |  |  |
|    | Average maximum age (years)                    | 47.8 <sup>1</sup>                        | 3     |  |  |
|    | Fecundity (eggs/spawning)                      | 158,114 <sup>1</sup>                     | 1     |  |  |
|    | Average maximum size (cm)                      | 100 <sup>1</sup>                         | 1     |  |  |
|    | Average size at maturity (cm)                  | 43.5 <sup>1</sup>                        | 2     |  |  |
|    | Reproductive strategy                          | Broadcast spawner 1                      | 1     |  |  |
|    | Mean trophic level                             | 3.2 1                                    | 2     |  |  |
|    |  | Average Productivity Score               | 1.71  |  |  |
|    | Susceptibility Attribute                       | Value                                    | Score |  |  |
|    | Availability (area overlap)                    | <10% <sup>2,3</sup>                      | 1     |  |  |
|    | Encounterability (the position of the stock/sp | ecies within High overlap <sup>2,4</sup> | 3     |  |  |
|    | the water column relative to the fishing gear) |  | 3     |  |  |
|    | Selectivity of gear type                       | Individuals < size at maturity           | 3     |  |  |
|    |  | are frequently caught. <sup>5</sup>      | 3     |  |  |
|    | Post-capture mortality                         | Retained <sup>5</sup>                    | 3     |  |  |
|    |  | Average Susceptibility Score             | 2.5   |  |  |
|    |  | PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)          | PASS  |  |  |
|    |  | Compliance rating                        | PASS  |  |  |

#### Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where relevant)

For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there may be uncertainty affecting your decision

**Availability**: Plaice is commonly found around all coasts of Britain and Ireland. Their distribution ranges from the western Mediterranean, throughout the North Sea and into the White Sea (including coasts of Iceland) <sup>2</sup>, and the ICES Division 7 b-c only overlaps with less than 10% of the species distribution <sup>3</sup>. (figure 1)




Figure 1: Distribution of plaice <sup>2</sup>, and location of ICES Division 7 b-c <sup>3</sup>.



**Encounterability:** Plaice are most commonly found from 0-200 m depth, but are mostly between 10-50m<sup>2</sup>, and bottom otter trawls can be operated in a very wide range of depths, from a few meters to around 1500-2000 m<sup>4</sup>.

**Selectivity of gear type:** Due to the minimum mesh size (80 mm) in the mixed beam and otter trawl fisheries, a large number of undersized plaice are discarded. The 80 mm mesh size is not matched to the minimum landing size of plaice (27 cm). This indicates that immature organisms are frequently caught by the fishery. <sup>5</sup>

**Post-capture mortality**: There is no available information about the survival rate of the discarded plaice. As a precautionary approach, it was considered that majority of discarded plaice are dead when release.

#### References

- 1 https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Pleuronectes-platessa.html
- 2 https://www.nw-ifca.gov.uk/managing-sustainable-fisheries/plaice/
- 3 Gerritsen, H. D., & Kelly E. (2019). Atlas of commercial fisheries around Ireland. Third edition. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337103736\_Atlas\_of\_commercial\_fisheries\_around\_Ireland\_Third\_Edition\_2019
- 4 https://www.fao.org/fishery/docs/CDrom/ARTFIMED/ArtFiWeb/descript/Gear/geartype/gt306.htm
- 5 ICES (2023). Working Group for the Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE). ICES Scientific Reports. Report. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.22268980.v1

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2



# Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

| Productivity attributes     | High productivity<br>(Low risk, score = 1) | Medium productivity<br>(medium risk, score = 2) | Low productivity<br>(high risk, score = 3) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Average age<br>at maturity  | <5 years                                   | 5-15 years                                      | >15 years                                  |
| Average<br>maximum age      | <10 years                                  | 10-25 years                                     | >25 years                                  |
| Fecundity                   | >20,000 eggs per year                      | 100-20,000 eggs per<br>year                     | <100 eggs per year                         |
| Average<br>maximum size     | <100 cm                                    | 100-300 cm                                      | >300 cm                                    |
| Average size<br>at maturity | <40 cm                                     | 40-200 cm                                       | >200 cm                                    |
| Reproductive<br>strategy    | Broadcast spawner                          | Demersal egg layer                              | Live bearer                                |
| Mean Trophic Level          | <2.75                                      | 2.75-3.25                                       | >3.25                                      |

| Susceptibility attributes  | Low susceptibility<br>(Low risk, score = 1)           |   | Medium susceptibility<br>(medium risk, score = 2) |   |  | High susceptibility (high risk, score = 3)                             |  |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Areal overlap<br>(availability)<br>Overlap of the fishing<br>effort with the species<br>range  | <10% overlap  |   | 10  | 10-30% overlap  |  | >30% overlap   |  |
| Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear | Low overlap with fishing gear (low encounterability). |   | Medium overlap with fishing gear.                 |   | High overlap with fishing gear (high encounterability). Default score for target species |  |  |
| Selectivity of gear type   | а   | Individuals < size<br>at maturity are<br>rarely caught            | а   | Individuals < size<br>at maturity are<br>regularly caught.                    | а  | Individuals < size<br>at maturity are<br>frequently caught             |  |
| Potential of the gear to<br>retain species   | b   | Individuals < size<br>at maturity can<br>escape or avoid<br>gear. | b   | Individuals < half<br>the size at<br>maturity can<br>escape or avoid<br>gear. | b  | Individuals < half<br>the size at maturity<br>are retained by<br>gear. |  |
| Post-capture mortality<br>(PCM) The chance that, if<br>captured, a species<br>would be released and<br>that it would be in a<br>condition permitting<br>subsequent survival                            | rel   | ridence of majority<br>leased post-capture<br>d survival.         | rel   | ridence of some<br>eased post-capture<br>d survival.                          | m  | etained species or<br>ajority dead when<br>leased.                     |  |



| D3                   |             | Average Susceptibility Score |             |          |  |  |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
|                      |             | 1 - 1.75                     | 1.76 - 2.24 | 2.25 - 3 |  |  |
| Average Productivity |             |                              | PASS        | PASS     |  |  |
| Score                | 1.76 - 2.24 | PASS                         | PASS        | TABLE D4 |  |  |
|                      | 2.25 - 3    | PASS                         | TABLE D4    | TABLE D4 |  |  |

| <b>D4</b>          | Spe  | cies Name                          |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|                    | Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | D4.1   | The potential impacts              | of the fishery on this species are considered during the management   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    |  | process, and reasonab              | le measures are taken to minimise these impacts.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | D4.2   | There is no substantia species.    | al evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outco              | me:  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eviden             | ice  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| reasor             | nable me   | easures are taken to mir           | shery on this species are considered during the management process, and limise these impacts.  that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refere             | ences  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Links              |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marin <sup>*</sup> | Trust Sta  | ust Standard clause 1.3.2.2, 4.1.4 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAO C              | CRF  |                                    | 7.5.1   |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSSI               |  | 7.5.1<br>D.5.01                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |