

MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment, FRA61, Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*), FAO 27, ICES 7.b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel)

MarinTrust Programme Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ E: <u>standards@marin-trust.com</u> T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus)
	Geographical area:	FAO 27 – Northeast Atlantic
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	France, Norway, UK, Germany, Denmark, Iceland Faroe Islands, Ireland
	Stock:	ICES 7. b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel)
Date	July 2024	
Report Code	FRA61	
Assessor	Blanca Gonzalez	
Country of origin of the product - PASS	France, Norway, UK, Ge	ermany, Denmark, Iceland Faroe Islands, Ireland
Country of origin of the product - FAIL	None	

Application details and	d summary of the assess	sment outcome	
Company Name(s): Co	palis Industrie		
Country: France			
Email address:		Applicant Cod	e:
Certification Body Det	ails		
Name of Certification	Body:	LRQA	
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval
Blanca Gonzalez	Sam Peacock	0.5	Surveillance 1
Assessment Period	July 2024 – July 2025		

Scope Details			
Main Species	Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus)		
Stock	ICES 7. b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel)		
Fishery Location	FAO 27 – Northeast Atlantic		
Management Authority	ELL		
(Country/ State)	EU		
Gear Type(s)	Otter trawls, beam trawls, gillnets, others		
Outcome of Assessment			
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with recommendation		
Recommendation	PASS		

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) was assessed as a category C species considering that it is a Least Concern species by the IUCN, it is not in included in any CITES Appendixes, and the stock is managed using annual quotas relative to established reference points.

Haddock in ICES Division 7. b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel) is subject to annual stock assessment by ICES working group for the Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE). The last assessment was published in June 2024 using catches data in the model. Fishing pressure on the stock is above F_{MSY} and below F_{pa} and F_{lim} , and spawning-stock size is above MSY $B_{trigger}$, B_{pa} , and B_{lim} . Therefore, both clauses in the assessment were met.

The haddock by-product meets the Marin Trust requirements and it should be remained approved for use as a raw material.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

The peer reviewer agrees that this haddock stock should be assessed under Category C. The assessor has provided adequate evidence to demonstrate that the stock meets the requirements of category C, and therefore the peer reviewer agrees that the byproduct should remain approved for use as a raw material.

Notes for On-site Auditor

There are no concerns that requires attention from the on-site assessor



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category ¹	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Haddock	Melanogrammus aeglefinus	ICES 7. b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel)	Yes	С	Least Concern ³	No

¹ <u>https://www.iucnredlist.org/</u>

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

³ https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/13045/45097487

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name	Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus)	
C1	Catego	ory C Stock Sta	atus - Minimum Requirements	
CI	C1.1	Fishery remo	ovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment	PASS
		process, OR	are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species i	s considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit	PASS
		reference po	int (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific	
		authorities to	o be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:	PASS
C1 1 E	lichory	romovals of th	a spacies in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment proce	

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The clause is met considering that:

The haddock in the Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel (ICES Division7.b-k) most recent assessment was published in June 2024 by The International Council for exploration of the Sea (ICES) Working Group for the Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE).

The assessment was carried out using an age-based stochastic analytical model (SAM) that uses catches data (age composition of landings and discards), vector autoregressive spatio-temporal (VAST) standardized survey index, maturity data (surveys and observer data; constant for all years), and age-dependent natural mortality. Thus, removals of the species are included in the stock assessment process (ICES 2024) (figure 1).




Figure 1. Haddock catches in Division 7. b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel) since 1993. (ICES 2024).

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted


© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The Clause is met considering that:

The 2024 haddock assessment indicates that fishing pressure on the stock is above F_{MSY} but below F_{pa} and F_{lim} (figure 1), and spawning-stock size is above MSY $B_{trigger}$, B_{pa} , and B_{lim} (figure 2). The catch advice is that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2025 should be no more than 4,644 tonnes. (ICES 2024).



Bin

2009


MSY B_{trigger}

2014

95%

2004

Figure 1. Haddock in Division 7. b-k (Southern Celtic Seas and English Channel) fishing pressure above F_{MSY} but below F_{pa} and F_{lim} (ICES 2024).



References

40

20

0

......

1994

1999

.... B_{pa}

ICES (2024). Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) in Divisions 7.b-k (southern Celtic Seas and English Channel). ICES Advice: Recurrent Advice. Report. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.25019267.v1

2019

......

2024

Links	
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

Species Name	NA	
Productivity Attribute	Value	Score
Average age at maturity (years)		
Average maximum age (years)		
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)		
Average maximum size (cm)		
Average size at maturity (cm)		
Reproductive strategy		
Mean trophic level		
	Average Productivity Score	
Susceptibility Attribute	Value	Score
Availability (area overlap)		
Encounterability (the position of the stock/sp	ecies	
within the water column relative to the fishing	g gear)	
Selectivity of gear type		
Post-capture mortality		
	Average Susceptibility Score	
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	
	Compliance rating	
Further justification for susceptibility scoring For susceptibility attributes, please provide a l uncertainty affecting your decision	g (where relevant) brief rationale for scoring of parameters where	e there may b
ences		
rrd clauses 1.3.2.2		



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		igh susceptibility igh risk, score = 3)
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range		0% overlap		-30% overlap		30% overlap
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	w overlap with hing gear (low counterability).		edium overlap with hing gear.	fis er De	igh overlap with hing gear (high counterability). efault score for rget species
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught
Potential of the gear to retain species	ь	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	idence of majority leased post-capture d survival.	rel	ridence of some leased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

D4	Spe	cies Name	
	Impac	ts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements	
	D4.1	The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.	
	D4.2	There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.	
Outco	me:	·	
Eviden			
D4.1:	The pot	ential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management proc easures are taken to minimise these impacts.	ess, and
D4.1: reasor	The pot able me		ess, and
D4.1: reasor	The pot able me here is r	easures are taken to minimise these impacts.	ess, and
D4.1: reasor D4.2 T	The pot able me here is r	easures are taken to minimise these impacts.	ess, and
D4.1: reasor D4.2 T Refere	The pot nable me here is r	easures are taken to minimise these impacts.	ess, and
D4.1: reasor D4.2 T Refere	The pot nable me here is r nces	easures are taken to minimise these impacts.	ess, and